MAX CLELAND GEORGIA Telephone: (202) 224–3521 TDD/TTY: (202) 224–3203 www.senste.gov/-cleland

United States Senate

COMMITTEES:

ARMED SERVICES

COMMERCE

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

SMALL BUSINESS

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-1005

March 24, 2000

Ms. Janie Cooksey Congressional Liaison U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC 20231

Dear Ms. Cooksey:

The information enclosed is of the utmost importance to my constituent, Mr. Eric Jansson. The information provided raises significant questions about the procedures followed by the Patent and Trademark Office in the decision to withhold issuance of several patents.

I understand, from speaking to the representative of my constituent, that the decision to withhold issuance of these patents was made in a most unconventional fashion. I would very deeply appreciate a thorough review of this situation and a complete report on the basis for the decision which was made in this case.

As you will note, my constituent has a firm belief that the technology involved in this application has a very great commercial as well as social value. I would be grateful for all that you can do to assure that this matter is promptly addressed.

Thank you for your consideration.

Most respectfully,

Max Cleland

United States Senator

MC:jhs



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APR 2 1 2000

The Honorable Max Cleland United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510-1005

Dear Senator Cleland:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning your constituent Eric Jansson.

The matter to which Mr. Jansson refers is currently in litigation in the case of Blacklight Power, Inc. v. Dickinson, Civ. No. 00-0422 (D.D.C.). It would be inappropriate, therefore, to comment in detail. Moreover, the application is still pending and the applicant possesses all procedural remedies, including, but not limited to, the opportunity to seek judicial relief.

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) has moved for summary judgment in that litigation. Attached is a copy of the USPTO's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, including affidavits, recently filed in the litigation that addresses and denies the applicant's allegations concerning improper handling of the application.

I appreciate your letter and believe that the federal district court will fairly adjudicate the pending matter.

Sincerely,

Robert L. Stoll

Administrator for External Affairs

but R. Stall

Enclosure



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

MAY 1 5 2000

The Honorable Max Cleland United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510-1005

Dear Senator Cleland:

Thank you for your most recent letter on behalf of a constituent, Eric Jansson, regarding on-going litigation between the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) and Blacklight Power, Inc.

Your letter indicates that your constituent is an investor in Blacklight Power. In the litigation, Blacklight Power is represented by counsel. Thus, it would be inappropriate for the USPTO to communicate directly, or indirectly through your office, with a person represented by counsel. Moreover, any discovery in this matter should be conducted by counsel under the district court's supervision and procedures. Last, Blacklight Power is also represented by counsel before the USPTO in regards to its patent application. When counsel has appeared to represent the patent applicant, the USPTO does not conduct the patent application process with multiple parties nor with persons having some fractional interest in the patent application.

We appreciate your understanding of the nature of your request and your intention not to urge disclosure that would be inappropriate. The district court has scheduled a hearing on May 16, 2000, to hear arguments on the cross-motions for summary judgment. Given the pending litigation, issues concerning this application are best left for resolution by the parties counsel and the district court.

Sincerely,

Robert L. Stoll

Administrator for External Affairs